#### **Major Project Report**

On

# RETROFITTING OF MULI-STOREY BUILDING WITH DIFFERENT SYSTEMS FOR SEISMIC LOADINGS (EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN)

Submitted In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Award of the Master of Engineering

in
Civil Engineering
with specialization in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Submitted by:
PAWAN KUMAR SAINI
Roll. No. 2011/STR/10
M. Tech. (Structural Engineering)



Under the guidance of:-

Dr. NIRENDRA DEV. (Professor)

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Delhi Technological University

(Formerly Delhi College of Engineering)

DELHI-110042

#### **Department Of Civil And Environmental Engineering**

#### **Delhi Technological University**

# (Formerly Delhi College of Engineering) <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the major project-II entitled "Retrofitting Of Multi-Storey Building With Different Systems For Seismic Loadings".) being submitted by me, is a bonafide record of my own work carried by me under the guidance of Dr. NIRENDRA DEV, Professor In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Award of the Master of Engineering in Civil Engineering

with specialization in **Structural Engineering** Delhi Technological University (Formerly Delhi College Of Engineering) DELHI-110042

The matter embodied in this project has not been submitted for the award of any other degree.

PAWAN KUNAR SAINI Roll No 2011/STR/10

#### **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is correct to the best of my knowledge.

#### Dr. NIRENDRA DEV

(Professor)

Dept. of Civil and Environmental Egg.

Delhi Technological University

(Formerly Delhi College of Engineering)

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** 

It is a matter of great pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude to

Dr. Nirendra Dev, Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental engineering,

Delhi Technological University, for his constant encouragement, valuable

suggestions, help and inspiration throughout the duration of work, without which it

would not have been possible for me to carry out this project.

I also express my sincere gratitude to the faculty of Civil Engineering,

Department of DTU, and Northern Railways Engineers Shri M.S .Lakha, CBE,

Dr. S.K. Garg, Dy CE/Bridge Design, Shri B.K. Sharma, Sr. DEN/DLI,

Shri Y.S. Chaudhary, DyCE/Survey and to all my well wishers and friends for offering

their helpful hands.

Last but not the Least, I am thankful to my wife for her forbearance patience,

encouragement and to my sons Vikrant Saini (student of 4rth year, civil Engineering

in DTU) & Vipul Saini for encouraging me to pursue the M. Tech Course.

Place: New Delhi PAWAN KUMAR SAINI

M. Tech. (final Year)

(Structural Engineering)

#### **ABSTRACT**

The tectonic events, volcanism, collapsing of subterranean cavities or manmade effects may be a cause of minor or severe vibrating motion on the surface of the earth. So a building resting on it will experience motion at its base. This unpredictable seismic motion has kinetic energy which is also responsible for the generation of inertia force and if transmitted to a structure, may cause damage . This kinetic energy can be dissipated up to a certain extent by providing the seismic resistant devices.

In the past, building structure have been designed without any consideration of the seismic effects. The knowledge about the earthquake, their behaviour and their effects on structures grew with time and seismic resistant design procedures have been started to be followed in the analysis and design of structures.

The adding of bracing, shear wall, jacketing of beams & columns strengthening of individual elements by various material, mass reduction, supplemental damping and base isolation etc are seismic resistant device. may be added to the new structure as well as existing seismic vulnerable structure as seismic resistant device.

Seismic codes help to improve the behaviour of structure so that it may withstand the earthquake effects without significant loss of life and property. Seismic codes [IS 1893(Part-1):2002, IS13920:1993 are available for new framed structure and CED-39 seismic evaluation and strengthening of existing reinforced concrete buildings. Some main clause of CED -39 along with basic concept of base isolation system is covered in part of literature review.

This project involves the seismic evaluation of an old RCC building .A Seven storey RCC apartment building has been taken to examine the health. Strengthening is required for its safe function. Apart from this thousands of buildings in Delhi require seismic strengthening.

A case study of actual building where base isolation methods have been applied,. New ward block in GTB hospital with 500 beds capacity has been design by providing lead core type Isolators. The costing is more for base isolation but justified through improved earthquake performance.

In this project the isolators are design for 250&400 MT load carrying capacity by the provision of UBC-1997,FEMA-356 and IS -1893 (Part I): 2002 for multi-storey buildings assuming the building as a rigid body, wind load has not been considered in the study. The shear wall and X-Bracing are design as per IS 456 & IS 800 by using computer programmes.

The analysis is performed for similar conditions on a seven story building by providing three different type of seismic resisting device seperately i e Shear wall, steel bracing and Isolators by using the software ETABS-Non linear Version 9.7.4 trial version. The story drift, base shear, diaphragm deflection are noted for the comparisons.

Comparison tables and Graphs are prepared for noted items These comparison shows that a Base Isolated building will perform better than a buildings provided with conventional seismic resistant devices but the initial investment is higher with the base isolation systems.

## LIST OF FIGURE:-

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Jacketing of Columns	6
2.2	Addition of New Structural Element	7
3.1	Cracks In Columns & Masonry Wall	14
4.1	Location of Isolators on columns foundation	17
4.2	Front Elevation of Isolated Building	18
4.3	Position of Isolators Between Base and Columns	18
7.1	Plan & Elevation of Existing Building To be Retrofitted	27
8.1	Plan & Elevation of Building Retrofitted With Shear wall	31
8.2	Plan & Elevation of Building Retrofitted With Isolators	32
8.3	Plan & Elevation of Building Retrofitted With Steel Bracing	33
8.4	Displacement of Frame with Shear Wall	34
8.5	Lateral Load of Frame with Shear Wall	35
8.6	Storey Displacement of frame With Isolation	36
8.7	Storey Drift of frame With Isolation	37
8.9	Storey Shear of Frame with Isolation	38
8.10	Storey Moment of Frame with Isolation	39
8.11	Storey Displacement of Frame With Bracing	40
8.12	Maximum Storey Drift with Bracing	41
8.13	Storey Shear of Frame with Bracing	42
8.14	Storey Drift of frame With Shear Wall	43
8.15	Storey Shear With Shear Wall	44
8.16	Diaphragm Displacement With Isolators	45
8.17	Storey Drift of Frame With Isolator	46
8.18	Storey Shear of Frame With Isolator	47
8.19	Diaphragm Displacement With Bracing	48
8.20	Storey Drift of Frame With Bracing	49
9.1	Comparison of Diaphragm Deflection (X Direction)	51
9.2	Comparison of Storey Deflection ( X Direction)	52
9.3	Comparison of Storey Shear (Vx Direction)	54
9.4(a)&(b)	Comparison of Steel Used Columns	55&57

# LIST OF TABLES:

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
3.1	Visual Inspection of an old/existing building	13
3.2	Rebound Hammer Test Reports	14
4.1	DIS -Isolator Engineering Properties	20
7.1	Results from Seven Storey existing building	28
7.2	Diaphragm Displacement Existing Building	29
7.3	Storey Shear of Existing Building	29
8.1	Diaphragm Displacement with Shear Wall	42
8.2	Storey Drift of Frame with Shear Wall	43
8.3	Storey Shear of Frame with Shear Wall	44
8.4	Diaphragm Displacement with Isolators	45
8.5	Storey Drift of Frame with Isolator	46
8.6	Storey Shear of Frame with Isolator	47
8.7	Diaphragm Displacement with Bracing	48
8.8	Storey Drift of Frame with Bracing	49
8.9	Story Shear of Frame with Bracing	50
9.1	Comparison of Diaphragm Deflection	51
9.2	Comparison of Story Deflection	52
9.3	Comparison of story shear	54
9.4(a)&(b)	Comparison of steel used in columns	55&56
9.5	Comparison of deflection at a critical point	57
9.6	Comparison for project cost	58

### **CONTENTS**

Serial No	Name of Topics	Page Number
	Certificate	ii
	Acknowledgement	iii
	Abstract	iv
	List of figure	vi
	List of table	vii
	Contents	viii
CHAPTER-	1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and Motivation	1
1.2	Objective of project	2
CHAPTER-	2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.0	Preamble	3
2.1	Main clause of CED-39	3
2.2	Design Criteria of Earthquake Resistant Buildings	8
2.3	Base Isolation System	11
CHAPTER-3 SEISMIC EVALUATION OF OLD BUILDING		13
3.0	Preamble	13
3.1	Typical features of the building	13
3.2	Visual Inspection	13
3.3	Corrective Actions Required	14
CHAPTER	- 4 CASE STUDY OF A ISOLATED BUILDING	15
4.0	Preamble	15
4.1	Base Isolation In India and NCR	15
4.2	Base Isolation In Delhi	15
4.3	Special Feature of The Buildings	16
4.4	List of suppliers in Delhi	19
CHAPTER-5 FORMULATION OF PROBLEM		22
5.0	Preamble	22
5.1	Description of Problem	22
5.2	Base isolation, shear wall,& bracing	22
CHAPTER-	6 DESIGN OF ISOLATORS	24
6.0	Preamble	24
6.1	Design Of Base Isolators By DIS Table	24
6.2	Design Of Base Isolators Using UBC1997/ FEMA356	24

CHAPTER-7 ANALYSIS OF FRAMED BUILDING		27
7.0	Preamble	27
7.1	Description of Building	25
7.1	Results From building	28
CHAPTER-	8 RETROFITTED STRUCTURE	31
8.0	Preamble	31
8.1	Case(1)-Retrofitted With Shear Wall	31
8.2	Case(2)-Retrofitted With Isolators	32
8.3	Case(3)-Retrofitted Bracings	33
8.4	Result-Graphs& Tables	34
8.5	Result for Case (1)	42
8.6	Result for Case (2)	45
8.7	Result for Case (3)	48
CHAPTER-9 COMPARISON AND FINDINGS		51
9.0	Preamble	51
9.1	Comparison For Diaphragm Deflection	51
9.2	Comparison For Storey Deflection	52
9.3	Comparison For Storey shear	54
9.4	Comparison For steel used in columns	56
9.5	Deflection Noted at a particular point	57
9.6	Comparison For project cost	57
CHAPTER-10 CONCLUSIONS		59
10.1	Conclusions	59
10.2	Further Scope of Work	60
10.3	References	61